A 11 41	A - 11 4(-)
Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/944,569	LEIZEROVICH ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Aung T. Win	2617
(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this or other appropriate communica IGHTS. This application is subje	
e been received. e been received in Application Note cuments have been received in the communication to file a replication.  of this communication to file a replication.  nitted. Note the attached EXAMIN es reason(s) why the oath or decist be submitted. son's Patent Drawing Review (Pingles Amendment / Comment or in the communication of the decist of BIOLOGICAL MATERIA	his national stage application from the ply complying with the requirements  ER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF laration is deficient.  TO-948) attached  TO-948) attached  TO-948 attached
7. 🛛 Examiner's Ame	ary (PTO-413), Date <u>09/15/2006</u> .
	Examiner  Aung T. Win  ears on the cover sheet with the (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this or other appropriate communication is subjeted and MPEP 1308.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f) or ebeen received.  The been received in Application Not occuments have been received in the following the second of this application.  Interview Summunication to file a report of this application.  Interview Summunication to file a report of the second

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Scott M. Garrett: Registration Number 39,988 on September 15, 2006.

- 1. The application has been amended in response to amendment filed on July 21, 2005 as follows:
- 1.1 Claim 1, Page 2 Line 8 of Claim 1, after "the transmitter", -- prior to the RFPA; and -- was deleted.

Claim 1, Page 2 Line 8 of Claim 1, after "the transmitter", -- wherein said expected signal is determined by calculation, or by mapping with a look-up table; and -- was added.

Claim 1, Page 2 Line 9 of Claim 1, after "the modulation signal", -- after said comparing step -- was added.

1.2 Claim 7, Page 3 Line 10 of Claim 7, after "the transmitter", -- prior to the RFPA; -- was deleted.

Claim 7, Page 3 Line 10 of Claim 7, after "the transmitter", -- wherein said expected signal is determined by calculation, or by mapping with a look-up table; and -- was added.

Claim 7, Page 3 Line 11 of Claim 7, after "the modulation signal is adjusted", -- after said comparing step -- was added.

1.3 Claim 13, Page 4 Line 5 of Claim 13, after "supply voltage", -- to said RFPA -- was added.

Claim 13, Page 4 Line 7 of Claim 13, after "adjusting the modulation signal", -- after comparing an actual output signal of said RFPA with an expected signal wherein said expected signal is determined by calculation, or by mapping with a look-up table; -- was added.

## **Reasons for Allowance**

Claims 1-18 are allowed. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The application is allowable for the reasons set forth on the Applicant's response filed on 07/03/2006. Regarding Claims 1-18, Examiner agrees with the applicant's remarks made on page 6-12 of the Applicant's response filed on October 17, 2005 i.e., the claimed invention requires comparing an actual output signal of RFPA with claimed expected signal and further adjusting claimed modulation signal in combination with other limitations of the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,569

Art Unit: 2617

Cited prior art by Su fails to explicitly teach claimed expected signal for comparing said expected signal with an actual output signal of RFPA in combination with the other limitations in the claim.

The combination of the cited prior art references do not teach the claimed expected signal for comparing said expected signal with an actual output signal of RFPA in combination with the other limitations in the claim.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Conclusion

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aung T. Win whose telephone number is (571) 272-7549. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/944,569 Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Aung T. Win Group Art Unit 2617 September 15, 2006

DUC M. NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY CENTER 2600